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Abstract
The effectiveness of Salinity Gradient Power - Reverse Electrodialysis (SGP-RE) in real practice is still not clearly defined due to the lack of specific studies in literature, being investigations in large part limited to pure NaCl solutions or aqueous mixtures of two salts. In this work, we experimentally assessed the impact of natural feed streams (collected from Licetto river and Tyrrenian sea in Amantea - Italy) in terms of Open Circuit Voltage (OCV) and power density (P_d) measured on a lab-scale SGP-RE stack prototype; results have been compared to those obtained when using NaCl solutions having equivalent ionic strength. Highest OCV (3.68 V and 4.09 V) and P_d values (0.46 and 1.41 W∙m⁻²) were observed at temperature of 60°C for real and synthetic feeds, respectively.

The extent of electrical resistances (ion exchange membrane/electrical double layer/diffusion boundary layer) was elucidated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS); in particular, a critical effect of real solution on cation exchange membrane (CEM) resistance was detected. Additionally, ionic characterization of process effluents revealed the occurrence of uphill transport of multivalent ions Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺ and SO₄²⁻.

Keywords: Reverse Electrodialysis; Salinity Gradient Power; natural feeds; Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy; Uphill transport

* e.curcio@unical.it (corresponding author)
1. Introduction

According to US Energy Information Administration, world net electricity generation is expected to increase from 20 trillion to 40 trillion kWh in the coming 30 years; among all sources which presently fulfill the increasing demand of energy, renewable energy is the fastest-growing source of electric power with an annual 2.8% increase [1]. An emerging renewable energy source is Salinity Gradient Power (SGP), originally proposed for sea and river water mixing more than 60 years ago [2]. The total technical potential of SGP is estimated to be around 647 GW, which is 23% of the global electricity consumption [3]. Possible application areas of SGP techniques are estuaries where freshwater rivers run into seawater [4–7], high salinity wastewater (brine from desalination [8–11] or salt mining and saltworks [12–14]) and saltwater lakes [15,16].

There are two common technologies which harvest SGP by utilizing membrane-based processes: Reverse Electrodialysis (SGP-RE) – object of the present work - and Pressure Retarded Osmosis (PRO) [17]. In a typical SGP-RE system, cation exchange membranes (CEM) and anion exchange membranes (AEM) are piled up alternately between cathode and anode (Fig. 1). CEMs and AEMs are separated by spacers to allow the diluted and concentrated salt solutions flowing through. Due to salinity gradient across the membranes, ions diffuse through the membranes from High Concentration Compartment (HCC) to Low Concentration Compartment (LCC): the ionic flux is converted to electronic flux in the electrode compartments by reduction and oxidation reactions on the electrode surface [10].
The most abundant natural salinity gradient sources are seawater and river water. Theoretically, the generated mixing energy of two solution with different concentration can be calculated by Gibbs free energy equation [6]:

$$\Delta G_{\text{mix}} = 2RT \left[ V_D C_D \ln \frac{C_D}{C_M} + V_C C_C \ln \frac{C_C}{C_M} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where $\Delta G_{\text{mix}}$ is the Gibbs free energy of mixing, $V_D$ is volume of diluted solution with concentration $C_D$, $V_C$ is volume of concentrated solution with concentration $C_C$, $C_M$ is the concentration of mixed solution, $R$ is the gas constant ($R=8.31432 \text{ Jmol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) and $T$ is the temperature (K). Eq. 1 assumes enthalpy changes and activity of the solutions having negligible effect on final $\Delta G_{\text{mix}}$.

In theory, the complete mixing of 1 m$^3$ seawater (assumed as 30 kg · m$^{-3}$ NaCl) and 1 m$^3$ river water (assumed as pure water) produces 1.7 MJ energy, that can be increased up to 6.1 MJ at 298 K when volumetric ratio of river water/seawater is 10 [6]. The numerous attempts made to investigate extractable energy from seawater and river water mixing resulted in gross power density between 0.20 - 2.2 W·m$^{-2}$ depending on membrane design [18–21], spacer design [7,22–24], stack design [25,26] and process conditions (i.e. concentration gradient, flow properties and temperature) [2,7,27,28]. One of the first measurements of power density was reported as 0.20 W·m$^{-2}$ by Pattle (1954). In that study, maximum power was obtained for polyethylene mixed with crosslinked polystyrene resins membranes and 1 mm nonconductive thickness at 39°C where 0.5 M NaCl solution and tap water were used as feed [2]. After IEM technology had improved, Veerman et al. performed synthetic seawater/river water SGP-RE experiments with six commercial membrane pairs; the highest measured power densities were 1.17 and 1.18 W·m$^{-2}$ for Fumasep (FAD and FDK) and Selemion (AMV and CMV) membrane pairs, respectively, and a noteworthy thermodynamic efficiency (35%) was obtained [18]. Guler et al. prepared custom-made sulfonated polyetheretherketone CEM and polyepichlorohydrin AEM designed for SGP-RE; utilizing these membrane pairs resulted in 1.28 W·m$^{-2}$ gross power density [20]. Hong et al. conducted experiments by pairing a custom-made composite CEM with ASV (Selemion, Japan) AEM; under
optimized electrochemical properties, a maximum power density of 1.3 W·m$^{-2}$ was generated [21]. Vermaas et al. investigated the effect of intermembrane thickness and feed flow rate on the power density for synthetic seawater and river water: the highest recorded gross power density was 2.2 W·m$^{-2}$ for 100 µm intermembrane thickness; moreover, possibility to reach 4 W·m$^{-2}$ was predicted for 60 µm inter-membrane thickness [7].

Aforementioned studies, analogously with the large part of literature references, were carried out using synthetic solutions prepared only with NaCl or, seldom, with a mixture of inorganic salts to mimick natural feeds. However, a large spectra of mono- and multivalent ions together with some organic compounds are present in the natural feed solutions. Previous studies carried out on artificial multi-ion saline solutions revealed a drastic effect of these salts on the SGP-RE performance. Decrease in Nernst potential, uphill transport, increasing IEM resistance were the most pronounced observations due to presence of multivalent ions. Tufa et al. observed a 64% decrease in power density when a lab scale SGP-RE stack, installed with Fujifilm-80045 and Fujifilm-80050, was operated with artificial solutions 0.083 M NaCl + 0.017 M MgCl$_2$/3.25 M NaCl + 1.75 M MgCl$_2$ instead of 0.1 M NaCl/5 M NaCl [10]. Avci et al. carried out a parametric work on concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ for 0.5 and 4 molal solutions; having 100% Mg$^{2+}$ instead of Na$^+$ resulted in more than 50% decrease on OCV, three times higher stack resistance and 90% decrease in produced gross power density [29]. Another study carried out with ground water (2.67 M) and seawater (0.79 M) showed that power generation was affected by the presence of divalent ions [30]. Vermaas et al. and Post et al. investigated the effect of divalent ions on stack voltage and resistance for artificial solutions mimicking seawater/river water pair: up to 50% reduction in power density was detected when Mg$^{2+}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ ions were present [31,32].
Investigations on real environment are so far scarcely present in literature and those studies prevalently focus on fouling phenomena or on the overall stack performance. The first SGP-RE pilot plant was operated with natural brackish water and almost saturated brine from saltworks, and compared with artificial NaCl equivalent solutions by Tedesco et al.: the plant was able to generate 40 W power with 125 cell pairs and almost 50 m² membrane area for real waters whereas artificial NaCl solutions resulted in 65 W [14].

The key observation of Vermaas et al. for a stack operated with natural seawater and river water was a drastic decrease in power density (40 %) attributed to organic fouling and associated increase in pressure drop [33]. Pawlowski et al. investigated the performance of a SGP-RE stack fed with natural river water and seawater operated with relatively thick spacers (800 µm) [34,35]; the wider channels circumvented stack clogging and increase of pressure drop which was about 6 times lower than in Vermaas et al. [33]. However, none of these studies was supported by the electrochemical characterization of membranes exposed to natural feeds for a more comprehensive understanding of their impact on stack performance.

In the present study, the performance of SGP-RE was evaluated in a real environment by testing natural river water and seawater feeds. System performance was evaluated in terms of Open Circuit Voltage (OCV) and power density (P_d) on a lab-scale SGP-RE stack prototype, and results compared to those obtained when using NaCl solutions with equivalent ionic strength. The extent of electrical resistances (ion exchange membrane/electrical double layer/diffusion boundary layer) was elucidated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Occurrence of uphill transport due to the presence of multivalent ions (Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-}) was investigated by ion chromatography.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Solutions

Natural feeds were collected from river Licetto and Tyrrenian Sea in Amantea (Italy) and processed through the SGP-RE stack without any treatment. In order to determine the ionic composition, samples were collected, microfiltered through 0.20 µm pore size polypropylene membranes (Microdyn®) and analyzed by Ion Chromatography (see 2.3); data related to major ions are reported in Table 1. Artificial aqueous solutions mimicking river water and seawater (same ionic strength) were prepared by appropriate amounts of NaCl (Sigma Aldrich, Italy). Ionic strength $I_m$ of river and seawater was calculated as:

$$I_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum m_i z_i^2$$  (3)

where $m_i$ is molality of the i-th ion and $z_i$ its charge.

For SGP-RE operation, the composition of aqueous electrolyte solution was: 0.3 M potassium hexacyanoferrate (II), 0.3 M potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) and 2.5 M sodium chloride (all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Italy). For the preparation of synthetic saline solutions and electrolyte solution, deionized water (PURELAB, Elga LabWaters, 0.055 mS·cm$^{-1}$) was used.

Table 1. Ionic composition of natural river water and seawater (major ions are reported) and equivalent ionic strength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Ionic Strength (molal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>K$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River water</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>17941</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Reverse Electrodialysis setup

The SGP-RE lab-scale prototype provided by REDstack BV (The Netherlands) was used in the same arrangement as described previously [29]. SGP-RE stack was equipped with AEM-80045 and CEM-80050 Ion Exchange Membranes (IEMs) provided by Fujifilm Manufacturing Europe B.V. (The Netherlands). Relevant characteristics of the membranes are illustrated in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane code</th>
<th>Thickness (µm)*</th>
<th>Ion exchange capacity (mmol/g membrane)</th>
<th>Density of fixed charge (mol/L)</th>
<th>Membrane areal resistance (Ωcm²)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuji-AEM-80045</td>
<td>129 ± 2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.551 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuji-CEM-80050</td>
<td>114 ± 2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.974 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions: NaCl 0.5 M, 20°C
**Conditions: NaCl 0.5 M, 20°C, 2.8 cm/s

SGP-RE tests were carried out at different temperature (10-60 °C) and flow rate (20-40 L·h⁻¹), as detailed in Table 3. LCC and HCC solutions were fed to the stack at the same temperature and flow rate; the flow rate of electrolyte solution was fixed to 30 L·h⁻¹. Solutions were fed by Masterflex L/S digital peristaltic pumps (Cole-Palmer, US) and conditioned to desired temperature by a refrigerated/heated circulating bath (PolyScience, US) before entering into the stack.

A high dissipation five-decade resistance box in the range of 0.1–1000 Ω (CROPICO, Bracken Hill, US) was used to load the SGP-RE system. Corresponding voltage drop and current were recorded after altering resistance box in the range of 60–0.1 Ω. DC voltage drop across the stack was measured by a 3½ digital multimeter with accuracy of 70.5% in the range of 200 mV to 200 V (Velleman, DVM760, Belgium), and the current flowing across the load resistors was measured by 6½ digit multimeter (Agilent, 34422A, Italy).
Voltage (V) versus current (I) experimental data were fitted with a straight line mathematically expressed by equation 4: here, the open circuit voltage ($E_{OCV}$) and the total resistance of stack ($R_{stack}$) were respectively calculated as intercept (I=0) and slope of the equation:

$$V(I) = E_{OCV} - R_{stack} I$$

(4)

The gross power density $P_d$ follows a parabolic trendline in the form of:

$$P_d = \frac{E_{OCV}^2}{4R_{stack} N_M}$$

(5)

in which $P_d$ is given in W·m$^{-2}$, $E_{OCV}$ in V, and $R_{stack}$ in Ω·m$^2$; $N_M$ is the number of membranes contributing to the voltage. $P_d$ reaches its maximum value when external resistance (load resistance) is equal to internal resistance (stack resistance) [7].

### 2.3. Ion Chromatography

Ion Chromatography was employed to quantify the concentration of ions at the inlet and outlet of SGP-RE unit (Metrohm 861 Advanced Compact Ion Chromatography, Switzerland) operated under experimental conditions detailed in Table 3, in open-circuit configuration (electrical load disconnected) and continuous feed flow. In order to reach the steady-state, samples were collected after one hour of operation. Samples were analyzed at room temperature, at which ion chromatograph was calibrated. 3.2 mM Na$_2$CO$_3$ + 1 mM NaHCO$_3$ solution was used as eluent for anion column Metrosep A Supp 5 - 250/4.0, and 2 mM nitric acid + 0.25 mM oxalic acid solution was used as eluent for cation column Metrosep C4 – 250/4.0.
2.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out using a potentiostat/galvanostat combined with a frequency response analyzer (Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT302N, Switzerland). A home-designed four electrodes impedance cell having 3.14 cm² active membrane area (Fig. 2) was employed. Electro-deposition method was applied to cover working and counter electrodes with a thin layer of AgCl. The sense and reference electrodes were Ag/AgCl electrodes (Gamry Instruments); the Haber–Luggin capillaries were filled with 3 M KCl.

Figure 2. Scheme of four electrode configuration Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) cell. The same solution is fed on both compartments.

AEM-80045 and CEM-80050 membranes were characterized by EIS for natural sea and river water at 25°C. Before analysis, virgin membranes were conditioned for 24 h in test solutions, refreshed every 8 h to be sure no residual solutions were present.
Fig. 3 summarizes EIS procedure adopted in this study. AC current in the frequency range of 1000–0.01 Hz with signal amplitude of 10 mV was generated through the cell and response was recorded. Collected data were fitted by the equivalent circuit model shown in Fig. 3c by Nova 1.9.16 by Metrohm Autolab B.V. (The Netherlands). Specifically, diffusion boundary layer was represented by a resistor and a constant phase element in parallel, while electric double layer was represented by a parallel combination of a resistor and a capacitor [36]. For each test solution, a blank experiment (without membrane) was carried out in order to measure the solution resistance; membrane resistance $R_m$ is then calculated by subtracting solution resistance from overall resistance ($R_{m+s}$).

Figure 3. Summary of the EIS procedure: a) impedance analyzer; b) plot of real $Z'$ (Ω) and imaginary part $Z''$ (Ω) of impedance; c) illustration of equivalent circuit for membrane and solution resistance, electrical double layer resistance and diffusion boundary layer resistance.

2.5 Error analysis

All tests were repeated three times, and relevant experimental data averaged to estimate measurements uncertainty.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. SGP-RE tests

Natural seawater and river water under investigation contain 44.6 and 0.3 g/L of total dissolved salts, respectively. The ionic content is higher with respect to standard values reported in literature (35 g/L and 0.13 g/L, respectively); however, this salinity variation is an expected situation in restricted basins (i.e. Mediterranean sea) [37].

Fig. 4 illustrates current-voltage and current density-power density curves for natural and artificial solution at constant temperature of 20°C and at different flow rates. The maximum SGP-RE performance was observed for synthetic NaCl solutions fed at flow rate 40 L·h⁻¹: gross $P_d$ reached a maximum of 1.14 W·m⁻² at current density of 15 A·m⁻², OCV attained 3.96 V, and $R_{stack}$ was 14.8 Ω. On the other hand, the poorest performance was detected when mixing natural seawater and river water at flow rate 20 L·h⁻¹: gross $P_d$ value and current density fell down to 0.29 W·m⁻² and 5 A·m⁻², respectively, while OCV decreased to 3.17 V and $R_{stack}$ increased to 34.9 Ω. Use of natural solutions instead of synthetic ones resulted in a reduction of power density higher than 50%; this effect became more visible at higher flow rates. Eq. 5 illustrates the dependence of power density on OCV and $R_{stack}$. Although OCV is more influential on power density (squared dependence), in our case the dominant decreasing parameter was $R_{stack}$; in fact, OCV values varied within a quite narrow range (3.1 – 4.0 V), while $R_{stack}$ reduced significantly (from 35 to 14 Ω).
Average permselectivity $\alpha_{\text{ave}}$ of Fuji-AEM-80045 and Fuji-CEM-80050 membranes can be calculated from Planck Henderson equation [5]:

$$E_{OCV} = 2N \frac{RT}{F} \frac{\alpha_{\text{ave}}}{z} \ln \left( \frac{a_s}{a_r} \right)$$ (6)

where $N$ is number of membranes, $R$ is the universal gas constant (J mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$), $T$ is the absolute temperature (K), $F$ is the Faraday constant (C·mol$^{-1}$), $a_s$ is the activity of seawater solution (mol·l$^{-1}$), $a_r$ is the activity of river water solution (mol·l$^{-1}$), and $z$ is the ion valence (-). Activity coefficients were evaluated by PHREEQC v. 2.18.00 software [38].

Average permselectivity of the Fuji-AEM and CEM in artificial seawater and river water mixing was 68%, assuming a linear variation of solute concentration along the stack. As a comparison, Fontananova et al. reported permselectivity of Fuji-CEM and Fuji-AEM measured by ex-situ
method as 96% and 93% (average: 94.5%), respectively, in 0.1//0.5 M pure NaCl solutions [39].
The lower permselectivity can be explained by the higher concentration gradient of feed solutions
(from table 1, equivalent ionic strength is 0.012 and 0.958 molal for river water and seawater,
respectively, for an HCC/LCC ratio of ~ 80) that enhances the co-ion transport against the
chemical potential gradient [39,40]. Accordingly, for 0.1//5.0 M NaCl solutions (HCC/LCC ratio
of ~ 50), Fontananova et al. observed a decrease of Fuji-CEM and Fuji-AEM permselectivity to
89% and 73%, respectively [39].
Table 3 summarizes the experimental data for OCV, resistance and $P_d$. In general, a step increase
in flowrate from 20 to 30 L∙h$^{-1}$ improved $P_d$ and decreased stack resistance more than a further
increment from 30 to 40 L∙h$^{-1}$. For natural solutions, in the first case $P_d$ enhanced by 38% while
$R_{\text{stack}}$ decreased by 23% whereas, in the second step, $P_d$ enhanced by 10% while $R_{\text{stack}}$ decreased
only by 7%. Likewise, when flowrate of artificial solution was increased from 20 L∙h$^{-1}$ to 30
L∙h$^{-1}$, $P_d$ increased by 48% and $R_{\text{stack}}$ decreased by 24%; these values were limited to 27% and 16%
in the case of flowrate enhancement from 30 to 40 L∙h$^{-1}$. Vermaas et al. observed that the diffusive
boundary layer near the membranes induces a considerable resistance at lower flow rates [7].
Enhancing the non-ohmic resistances by improving fluid-dynamics (higher Reynolds number) is
possible up to a certain extent; further increase in flow rate does not promote a significant gain in
terms of gross $P_d$ due to increase in pumping energy [41].
An additional reason for increasing power density at higher flow rates is related to the residence
time of solutions within the stack. Higher residence time (at lower flowrate) results in a more
significant dilution of the HCC solution accompanied by a more significant concentration of the
LCC solution; the consequent decrease of concentration gradient across IEMs causes the decline
of SGP-RE performance.
Table 3. Measured data from SGP-RE tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate L/h</th>
<th>Temp. ºC</th>
<th>OCV V</th>
<th>R_{stack} Ω</th>
<th>P_d W/m²</th>
<th>OCV V</th>
<th>R_{stack} Ω</th>
<th>P_d W/m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In natural seawater, more than 10%w of cations were divalent ions (Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{+}\)) and approximately 10%w of anions were divalent SO\(_{4}^{2-}\). The evidence of the negative impact of divalent ions on the SGP-RE performance, is reported in literature [10,29,31,32,42]; hereafter we show that this effect - intrinsically associated to the ion valence \(z>1\) in equation 6 – is mainly enforced by the increase in IEM resistance and the occurrence of Mg\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_{4}^{2-}\) transport against its concentration gradient.

Membrane resistance and permselectivity are significantly affected by the electrical interactions between bi-valent ions and fixed charged groups of IEMs; in particular, an increase in CEM resistance occurs due to crosslinking of two fixed anionic groups when bridged by Mg\(^{2+}\); similarly, SO\(_{4}^{2-}\) ions cause an increase of AEM resistance by attracting each one a pair of fixed cationic groups. Neutralization of some fixed groups reduces the effective charge density of IEMs; consequently, ineffective Donnan exclusion results in a low permselectivity [43]. Ion permselectivity depends on several factors, such as affinity of a specific ion to a given fixed group.
on the membrane and mobility of ions. The selectivity order of anions were stated by Sata (2000) [44] as:

\[ I^- > NO_3^- > Br^- > NO_2^- > Cl^- > OH^- > SO_4^{2-} > F^- \]

while selectivity order for cations were reported by Strathmann (2004) [45] as:

\[ Ba^{2+} > Sr^{2+} > Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > H^+ > (Cu^{2+} \sim Zn^{2+} \sim Ni^{2+}) > K^+ > Na^+ > Li^+ > Fe^{3+} \]

According to permselectivity studies, it can be concluded Cl\(^-\) is preferred against SO\(_4^{2-}\) by AEM whereas Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) are preferred against Na\(^+\) by CEM. Coherently, Avci et al. observed that CEM resistance is critically affected by Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration [29].

Fig. 5 shows the results from electrical tests on SGP-RE stack at different temperatures (10, 20, 40 and 60°C) for both natural and artificial seawater and river water. Under the same experimental conditions, mixing artificial NaCl solutions resulted in higher \(P_d\) and OCV, and lower \(R_{stack}\) than natural solutions. Presence of approximately 10%w multivalent ions reduced OCV due to the screening effect of fixed charge groups on IEMs as discussed before. However, for both natural and artificial solutions, the performance of SGP-RE unit increased with temperature due to higher transport rate of ions. Diffusion coefficients of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) in 0.5 M NaCl were measured for Fuji-CEM-80050 and Fuji-AEM-80045, respectively, at different temperatures by Fontananova et al.: a 24% and 80% increase were recorded for chloride and sodium ions, respectively, when increasing temperature from 20 to 40 °C [36]. At 60 °C, the maximum power density and OCV were 1.41 W·m\(^{-2}\) and 4.09 V, respectively, recorded for artificial feeds and \(R_{stack}\) reached its lowest value of 12.8 \(\Omega\). On the other hand, power density and OCV of natural feeds had a minimum at 0.24 W·m\(^{-2}\) and 3.02 V, respectively, when the experimental conditions were designed for winter conditions at 10 °C.
Besides SGP-RE performance under steady-state conditions, we investigated the dynamic behavior of the system with the aim to estimate how fast is its response to sudden changes in flowrate, assuming that temperature variations in fluvial and maritime basins take place on a broad time scale. Fig. 6 illustrates the OCV profile over time for flowrate step changes (increase by 10 L/h every 20 minutes) at 20 °C. The response of the lab scale SGP-RE stack reveals that a new steady-state condition is reached after 0.75-1.50 minutes, i.e. 3-5 times the residence time of the feed solutions within the cells of the device.
Figure 6. Dynamic response of OCV to step change in flowrate at 20 °C

3.2. Uphill transport

Characterization of ion concentration in the inlet and outlet streams is essential for a deep understanding of mixing process and transport phenomena taking place within the SGP-RE unit. This investigation is important from both chemical and physical point of view, since different ions exhibit a different level of interactions with fixed charge groups located on IEMs. Figure 7 illustrates the influence of flowrate on ion transport at constant temperature (20°C). As expected, increasing flowrate ended up with a decreased number of transported ions for major monovalent species (Cl\(^-\) and Na\(^+\)) for both artificial and natural solutions due to lower residence time. K\(^+\), a minor monovalent ion, also contributed to the total flux by transporting in the same direction of concentration gradient. On the other hand, multi-valent ions like Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) showed transport along the opposite direction of concentration gradient; this phenomenon is known as “uphill transport” [29,31,32,42].
Figure 7. Transport of ions in LCC as a function of flowrate (temperature: 20°C): a) anions Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻; b) cations Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺. Solid line with the same color of the symbol represents the inlet concentration of the corresponding ion, symbols are the outlet concentration of ions. Uphill transport occurs when symbols are below the corresponding solid lines.

Inter-diffusion between monovalent and multivalent ions occurs in other systems such as Donnan dialysis, driven by Donnan potential established between the membrane and the adjacent solution to maintain electroneutrality [31,32,42]. In SGP-RE, some previous studies carried out with artificial seawater and river water containing divalent ions, e.g. Mg²⁺ and SO₄²⁻, reported the occurrence of uphill transport. Rijnaarts et al. theoretically explained the uphill transport over an ideal CEM exposed to 0.5 and 0.017 M saline solutions with 10% mol Mg²⁺; cations start moving across the ion selective membrane under Donnan potential (0.079 V for Na⁺ and 0.039 V for Mg²⁺), until achieving Donnan equilibrium and maintaining charge neutrality (two Na⁺ exchange for one Mg²⁺) [42]. Investigations of Avci et al. provided evidence of uphill transfer in SGP-RE operated with NaCl-MgCl₂ solutions in the range of 0-30% of Mg²⁺ [29]. Fig. 8 shows the transported ions in LCC at temperatures of 20, 40 and 60°C with feed flowrate kept constant at 20 L·h⁻¹. At increasing temperature, major monovalent ions exhibit a faster transport along the concentration
gradient, while multivalent ions Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) resulted in uphill transport at increasing mobility.

3.3. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

Characterization of electrical properties of IEMs and their interfaces was done by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) [46]. In this study, a range of frequency from 0.01 to 1000 Hz was applied to analyze impedance of the membranes and electrolytes. In such a system, the total resistance is determined by ohmic resistances (i.e. membrane and solution resistances) and non-ohmic resistances (i.e. electrical double layer and diffusion boundary layer resistances) as it is shown by the electrical circuit (Fig. 3).
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**Figure 8.** a) Transport of ions in LCC as a function of temperature (flowrate: 20 L·h\(^{-1}\)): a) anions Cl\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\); b) cations Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\). Solid line with the same color of the symbol represents the inlet concentration of the corresponding ion, symbols are the outlet concentration of ions. Uphill transport occurs when symbols are below the corresponding solid lines.
Charged groups fixed on the membrane surface attract the oppositely charged ions via Coulomb forces and create electrical double layer at the solid-liquid interface. Electrical double layer is composed of Stern layer and diffuse layer; strongly bounded ions - due to electrostatic interactions next to the membrane - form the Stern layer, while diffuse layer is caused by weak electrostatic interactions on the outer shell of electrical double layer [36].

Diffusion boundary layer arises from the difference between transport number of the membrane and the bulk solution. In an ideal IEM, electrical current is transported by counter ions because of the Donnan exclusion. On the other hand, in the bulk solution, univalent ions carry almost the same electrical current, and as a result, excluded ions get polarized as an additional layer [36].

EIS allowed the quantitative characterization of the different electrical resistances present in the system. In Fig. 9, the Nyquist plot of the frequency response for Fuji-AEM-80045 and Fuji-CEM-80050 exposed to natural seawater (Fig. 9.a) and river water (Fig. 9.b) shows the real (abscissa axis) and imaginary (ordinate axis) parts of the impedance. The extent of $R_{m+s}$ is investigated at high frequency, i.e. 1000 Hz. Non-ohmic resistances, $R_{edl}$ and $R_{dbl}$, were evaluated by fitting data with the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 3.c. The Nyquist response of CEM shifted towards higher values compared to AEM, confirming a significantly greater ohmic resistance of the cation exchange membrane. Similarly, enlarged amplitude of the Nyquist curves when moving from seawater to river water qualitatively indicates an increase in the non-ohmic resistance.
Figure 9. Nyquist plot of the Fuji-AEM-80045 and Fuji-CEM-80050 at 20 °C in: a) natural seawater and b) natural river water.

Fig. 10 illustrates the extent of ohmic and non-ohmic resistances when AEM-80045 and CEM-80050 membranes are operated in natural feed streams. As expected, total membrane resistances were an order of magnitude higher when natural river water used. In particular, CEM offers 5-6 times higher resistance than AEM in both seawater and river water, confirming the high impact of divalent cations. The aforementioned charge screening effect by divalent ions cause neutralization of fixed charge groups and, ultimately, increase of membrane resistance. It is worth mentioning that, for all cases, the extent of non-ohmic resistances was negligible with respect to total resistance.

The increase in the stack resistance when feed streams were shifted from artificial to natural solutions can be therefore attributed prevalently to the increase in CEM resistance. When comparing the values of membrane resistance with respect to measurements in standard solutions presented in Table 2, no significant change was observed for AEM, while CEM resistance increased 5 times in natural seawater. A possible explanation is that the affinity of fixed charged groups of a CEM to Na\(^+\) is lower than that of multivalent ions such as Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) whereas, for AEM, the affinity to Cl\(^-\) is higher than that of SO\(_4^{2-}\), thus determining a limited screening effect.
**Figure 10.** Ohmic and non-ohmic resistances for AEM-80045 and CEM-80050 operated in natural river water and seawater (R$_m$: membrane resistance; R$_{dbl}$: diffusive boundary layer resistance; R$_{edl}$: electrical double layer resistance).

The high resistance of IEMs in natural river water is coherent with the studies of Galama *et al.*, who noted that membrane resistance mostly depends on the lowest external solution ion concentration and, below 0.3 M, it is limited by the conductivity of ionic solution [47].

Concerning the non-ohmic resistances, electrical double layer and diffusion boundary layer resistances were, respectively, one and two order of magnitude lower than ohmic resistances, for both AEM and CEM. Non-ohmic resistances in river water were about 10 times lower than those measured in seawater. For natural seawater, non-ohmic resistances on CEM were higher than on
AEM because of the higher different mobility of chloride with respect to sodium \( (\mu_{\text{Cl}^-}/\mu_{\text{Na}^+} = 1.5) \) [48]). Dlugulecki et al. observed that non-ohmic resistances are affected by the hydrodynamics of the system; on the other hand, ohmic resistances depend on temperature [48]. The values of total stack resistance reported in Table 3 agree with these assumptions: increasing flowrate from 20 to 40 L·h\(^{-1}\) resulted in 42% and 36% reduction in \( R_{\text{stack}} \) for natural and artificial solutions, respectively. Moreover, raising the temperature from 20 °C to 60 °C led to 13% and 44% reduction of \( R_{\text{stack}} \) for natural and artificial solutions, respectively.

4. Conclusion

Tests with natural feeds provide reliable data on the realistic potential and current technical limitation of SGP-RE. In this study, energy generation by mixing natural seawater/river water and equivalent (in terms of ionic strength) NaCl solutions was investigated at different temperatures and flow rates. All artificial solutions resulted in higher power density, higher OCV and lower \( R_{\text{stack}} \). At best, 1.41 W·m\(^{-2}\) maximum gross power density was extracted when operating with artificial NaCl solutions at 60 °C, showing highest OCV (3.68 V) and lowest \( R_{\text{stack}} \) (30.5 Ω). On the other hand, SGP-RE performance with natural feeds was significantly reduced as a result of increased membrane resistance, reduced OCV and occurrence of uphill transport for \( \text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Mg}^{2+} \) and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy demonstrated that the decrease of system performance was prevalently due to the significant increase of CEM resistance. The areal resistance for CEM in natural seawater reached up to 10.6 Ωcm\(^{2}\) which is about 3.5 times that of the areal resistance detected for artificial solutions (3.0 Ωcm\(^{2}\)). These results mark a substantial difference from the membrane resistance traditionally measured in 0.5 M NaCl solutions which, typically, varies in the range of 0.9 – 3.1 Ωcm\(^{2}\) for homogeneous CEMs [49]. The observed trend
of membrane resistance under realistic natural feeds reported in the present study elucidates the
behavior of IEMs as a premise for an optimal design of materials and of manufacturing strategies
necessary to enhance both the transport efficiency of monovalent ions and the rejection of
multivalent ions [50].

In addition, results revealed the necessity to implement appropriate pretreatment to soften feed
solutions, and to evaluate the impact of techno-economic requirements on practical application of
SGP-RE.
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Highlights

- Loss of power density is observed for SGP-RE operated with natural feeds.
- Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy shows a major impact on CEM resistance.
- A negligible impact of non-ohmic resistances is observed.
- Uphill transport of multivalent ions Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ is detected.